

MORASH, MELANIE

From: MORASH, MELANIE
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2016 10:38 AM
To: Wes Hawthorne
Cc: Cynthia Woo; Lawrence McGuire; Leslie Lundgren; Lora Battaglia; Rose Condit; Sabrina Morales; Wenqian Dou; DIAZ, ALEJANDRO; Estrada, Thelma; Harris-Bishop, Rusty; LUEDERS, JESSE; Lyons, John; Maldonado, Lewis; MORASH, MELANIE; Parker, Heather; Plate, Mathew; Shaffer, Caleb; Stralka, Daniel; Yogi, David; Elizabeth Brown; Heather O'Cleirigh; Joseph Innamorati; Linda Niemeyer; Michele Yuen; Morgan Gilhuly; Nancy-Jeanne LeFevre; Peter Bennett; Peter Scaramella; Rebecca Mora; Shau Luen Barker; Shaun Moore; Todd Maiden; Wendy Feng
Subject: EPA Comments - Updated mitigation plan for RES134/137 - please revise and re-submit by Tuesday, July 26, 2016

Good morning, Wes,

Thank you for submitting this updated mitigation plan for RES134/137. Please consider the following comments and revise and re-submit the plan to EPA, together with a response letter, by **Tuesday, July 26, 2016.**

1. Page 2, SMDS Description Section, second paragraph (¶), – pertaining to the sentence regarding the use of mechanical fasteners and they will be installed in the exterior footing and support posts. During the installation at the first residence, fasteners were only installed in the exterior walls and not the support posts. Please clarify as to how it will be done at this residence.
2. Page 3, SMDS Description Section, third ¶, – states audible alarm will installed in a weather-proof box which is to be located near the fan. As previously commented on other mitigation plans, this is not an appropriate location for the alarm. The alarm should be located inside so it can be easily heard by residents. This decision should not be left up to the residents or owner, though we will ultimately defer to the owner if there is a strong preference for an outdoor location. For the protection of the residents, there should be a requirement that some component of the alarm is in the interior so it can be heard when it sounds.
3. Page 4, SMDS Specifications Section, second ¶ – fifth bullet, regarding mechanical fasteners being installed in the exterior footing and piers. During the installation at the first residence, the mechanical fasteners were only installed in the exterior walls and not the support piers. Please clarify if and when they would be used on the piers.
4. Page 5, SMDS Specifications Section, fifth ¶ – Can information regarding the applicability of the thermal transfer printer's labels to be used outside in the weather be provided to EPA to ensure the labels will withstand the elements.

Regards,

Melanie Morash

Melanie Morash, Project Manager
California Site Cleanup Section I, Superfund Division

US EPA Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street (SFD-7-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 972-3050 [office]
(415) 535-3732 [mobile]
morash.melanie@epa.gov

From: J. Wesley Hawthorne [mailto:hawthornej@locustec.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2016 3:16 PM
To: MORASH, MELANIE <morash.melanie@epa.gov>
Cc: Heather O'Cleirigh <heather.ocleirigh@amd.com>; Linda Niemeyer <linda.niemeyer@ngc.com>; Nancy-Jeanne LeFevre <LeFevren@locustec.com>; Shau Luen Barker <shauluen.barker@philips.com>; Todd Maiden <tmaiden@reedsmith.com>; Leslie Lundgren <leslie.lundgren@cbifederaleservices.com>
Subject: RE: EPA Comments - Updated mitigation plan for RES134/137 - please revise and re-submit by Tuesday, July 19, 2016

Melanie:

Attached is a revised version of this mitigation plan, along with a redlined version of the text so EPA can focus review on the revised portions.

J. Wesley Hawthorne, PE, PG

President
Locus Technologies
299 Fairchild Dr.
Mountain View, CA 94043
415-799-9937
hawthornej@locustec.com
www.locustec.com